Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/009,584	LIVINGSTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Guzo	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gregory J. Sieczkiewicz.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1/19/0	Time: <u>4:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment to Claim 1, wherein said amendment places the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
Daw Jun		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		